

CITY OF CLINTON
REGULAR MEETING OF THE MAYOR AND BOARD OF ALDERMEN
TUESDAY, AUGUST 17, 1999 - 7:00 P.M.
MUNICIPAL COURTROOM - 305 MONROE STREET

WELCOME AND CALL TO ORDER - Mayor Rosemary Aultman

INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG

The invocation was led by Alderman Brantley followed by the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag led by Alderman Fisher.

ROLL CALL - City Clerk Nelson Byrd

Present: Jehu Brabham - Alderman-At-Large
Tony Hisaw - Alderman Ward 1
Rodney DePriest - Alderman Ward 2
Clint Brantley - Alderman Ward 3
Phil Fisher - Alderman Ward 4
Herb Touchton - Alderman Ward 5
Sharbert Lott - Alderman Ward 6
Ken Dreher - City Attorney
Nelson Byrd - City Clerk

APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS A-M

MOTION made by Alderman Brantley and **SECONDED** by Alderman Touchton to approve Consent Agenda Items A-M listed on page 203 of this Minute Book AA. Item C will serve to advertise favorably the opportunities, possibilities, and resources of the City of Clinton.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RECOGNITIONS

Alderman Hisaw explained and discussed the Character Trait of the Month - Orderliness.

PUBLIC HEARING -UNKEPT HOUSE AT 312 INDIAN MOUND

A Public Hearing was held with no comments from the public. Upon recommendation of Zoning Administrator Gary Ward, **MOTION** made by Alderman Lott and **SECONDED** by Alderman Brabham to adopt that Resolution found on pages 204-206 of this Minute Book AA to clean the private property located at 312 Indian Mound. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

DENIAL OF REZONING REQUEST AT 906 E. COLLEGE STREET

MOTION made by Alderman Brabham and **SECONDED** by Alderman Lott as found on page 207 of this Minute Book AA to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission denying the rezoning request of Mr. Perilloux for the property located at 906 E. College Street from R-1 to C-1. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

PUBLIC HEARING SET TO ADOPT WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS ORDINANCE

MOTION made by Alderman Touchton and **SECONDED** by Alderman Brantley to set a public hearing on September 28, 1999, as required by the Zoning Ordinance to consider the adoption of a Wireless Communications Ordinance. Copy of Motion and proposed ordinance can be found on pages 208-221 of this Minute Book AA. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

APPROVAL OF CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL BUDGET FOR F/Y 1999-2000

MOTION made by Alderman Hisaw and **SECONDED** by Alderman Brantley to approve the 1999-2000 Budget for the Clinton Public School District as presented. Said Budget and related documents may be found on pages 222-240 of this Minute Book AA. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

APPROVAL OF PROPERTY AND EASEMENTS PURCHASE FROM H. L. ANDERSON FOR SOUTHSIDE SEWER PROJECT

MOTION made by Alderman Touchton and **SECONDED** by Alderman Fisher to compromise and settle the purchase of a 33.34 acre tract from H. L. Anderson and a 54,289 square foot permanent sewer easement and an adjacent temporary construction easement from H. L. Anderson for a total payment of \$222,500.00 to the landowner, it being in the best interest of the City of Clinton to resolve this matter without the necessity and expense of a trial and the uncertain result thereof. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

ADJOURN 8:00 p.m.

MOTION made by Alderman Touchton and **SECONDED** by Alderman Fisher to adjourn **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

Copy of Agenda can be found on page 203 of this Minute Book AA.

APPROVED: Rosemary G. Aultman
Rosemary G. Aultman, Mayor

Date August 19, 1999

ATTEST: Nelson Byrd
Nelson Byrd, City Clerk

Date August 19, 1999

SEAL:

RESOLUTION

There came on for public hearing before the Mayor and Board of Aldermen of the City of Clinton, Mississippi, the matter of unclean property located at 312 Indian Mound, Clinton, Mississippi, and after full consideration of the matter, Alderman Loft offered the following Resolution:

**RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND BOARD OF ALDERMEN
OF THE CITY OF CLINTON, MISSISSIPPI, DETERMINING
THE NECESSITY FOR THE CLEANING OF PRIVATE PROPERTY
LOCATED AT 312 INDIAN MOUND, CLINTON, MISSISSIPPI,
UNDER THE AUTHORITY GRANTED TO MUNICIPALITIES
BY SECTION 21-19-11, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972 AS AMENDED,
AND OTHER APPLICABLE STATUTES AND ORDINANCES.**

WHEREAS, it has come to the attention of the Mayor and Board of Aldermen that the property located at 312 Indian Mound, Clinton, Mississippi, may constitute a menace to the public health and safety of the community because of its present condition and state of uncleanliness; and

WHEREAS, notice was given to the owner of said property as required Section 21-19-11 of the Miss. Code of 1972, as amended, of a public hearing on this matter; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held at 7:00 p.m., August 17, 1999, at the Municipal Room of the Public Safety Complex, 305 Monroe Street, Clinton, Mississippi; and

WHEREAS, based upon the information and evidence provided at said public hearing, the Mayor and Board of Aldermen of the City of Clinton, Mississippi, has determined that this property, in its present condition and state of uncleanliness, constitutes

a menace to the public health and safety of the community and does adjudicate said property in its present condition to be a menace to the public health and safety of the community. The Director of the Public Works Department is directed to take such action as appropriate under Section 21-19-11 of the Miss. Code of 1972, as amended, and other applicable statutes and ordinances, to use municipal employees or, by contract, clean the property by cutting weeds, removing rubbish and dilapidated buildings and other debris.

NOW BE IT RESOLVED, by the Mayor and Board of Aldermen of the City of Clinton, Mississippi, as follows:

1. It is hereby adjudicated that the property located at 312 Indian Mound, Clinton, Mississippi, in its present condition, constitutes to the public health and safety of the community.
2. The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to take such action as appropriate under Section 21-19-11, Miss. Code of 1972, as amended, and other applicable statutes and ordinances, to clean the property by cutting weeds, removing rubbish and dilapidated buildings and debris.
3. The Director of Public Works is hereby directed that upon completion of this cleaning that he advise the Mayor and Board of Aldermen of the City of Clinton, Mississippi, of the actual costs incurred in cleaning the property so that the Mayor and Board of Aldermen for the city of Clinton, Mississippi may adjudicate the costs of such action and impose such penalty as it adjudicates, all as provided by under Section 21-19-11, Miss. Code of 1972, as amended, and other applicable statutes and ordinances.

A Motion for adoption was seconded by Alderman BRABHAM and the foregoing having been first reduced to writing, and no request by the Mayor or any member of the Board of Aldermen that it be read by the City Clerk, before the vote was taken, it was submitted to the Board of Alderman for the passage or rejection on roll call vote upon the vote being as follows, to-wit:

Alderman Brabham voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman Hisaw voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman DePriest voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman Brantley voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman Fisher voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman Touchton voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman Lott voted:	<u>AYE</u>

Whereupon the Mayor declared the Motion carried and the Resolution approved and adopted.

The foregoing Resolution was approved this the 17th day of August, 1999.

CITY OF CLINTON

BY: Rosemary J. Aultman
ROSEMARY AULTMAN, Mayor

ATTEST:

Nelson Byrd
NELSON BYRD, City Clerk

(SEAL)

Motion to Deny Rezoning Request

Law Office Properties, LLC (Mr. Roy J. Perilloux)/Rezoning Request R-1 to C-1

Motion made by Alderman BRABHAM, seconded by Alderman LOTT
_____ to approve the recommendation of the Planning and Zoning Commission denying the rezoning request of Mr. Perilloux for the property located at 906 E. College Street from R-1 to C-1, it being the finding of the Mayor and Board of Aldermen that the rezoning be denied for the following reasons:

1. Applicant failed to demonstrate that the character of the neighborhood has changed to such an extent as to justify reclassification.
2. Applicant failed to demonstrate that there is a public need for this requested rezoning and the Mayor and Board of Aldermen hereby find as a fact that there is no public need at this time to rezone this property as a matter of public policy.
3. At least 4 of the 7 landowners identified as being within 160 feet of the subject property filed written opposition to the rezoning which under §2406.08 requires a two-thirds vote of the Board of Aldermen to approve such a rezoning request.
4. The Mayor and Board of Aldermen specifically find that even if the applicant has carried his burden under §2406.03, that said rezoning is not in the best interest of the City or the neighborhood at this time.
5. The Mayor and Board of Aldermen have reviewed the agenda and minutes of the Commission and the exhibits thereto and are personally familiar with the subject property and the surrounding neighborhood.

**MOTION TO SET PUBLIC HEARING TO ADOPT WIRELESS
COMMUNICATIONS ORDINANCE**

I move that, pursuant to the Zoning Ordinance of the the City of Clinton, a public hearing be set, as required, to adopt the Wireless Communications Ordinance as attached hereto and that said hearing take place on September 28, 1999, or as soon thereafter as possible before the Planning Commission.

The foregoing Motion, having been reduced to writing, Alderman TOUCHTON moved that said Motion be adopted. Alderman BRANTLEY seconded. The vote was as follows:

Alderman Brabham voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman Hisaw voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman DePriest voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman Brantley voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman Fisher voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman Touchton voted:	<u>AYE</u>
Alderman Lott voted:	<u>AYE</u>

Whereupon the Mayor declared the Motion carried and the ordinance approved and adopted.

The foregoing ordinance was approved this the 17TH day of August, 1999.

CITY OF CLINTON

BY

Rosemary J. Aultman
ROSEMARY AULTMAN, MAYOR

ATTEST:

Nelson Byrd

Nelson Byrd, City Clerk

(SEAL)

Z RESOLUTION DIMEN VARIANCE.wps

WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS ORDINANCE

Section I - Purpose

The City recognizes that the city of Clinton desires to encourage the orderly development of wireless communications technologies for the benefit of the city and its citizens. The City also recognizes the excellent character of the residential communities of the City and the dramatic increase in residential growth.

As a matter of public policy the City aims to encourage the delivery of new wireless technologies throughout the City while controlling the proliferation of communications towers. Such development activities will promote and protect the health, safety, prosperity, and general welfare of the citizens of Clinton.

The WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS ORDINANCE is designed to achieve the following:

- A. Provide a range of locations for communication towers in various zoning districts;
- B. Encourage the location of Wireless Communications Facilities onto existing structures to reduce the number of new communication towers needed within the City of Clinton;
- C. Encourage collocation and site sharing of new and existing communication towers;
- D. Control the type of tower facility constructed, when towers are permitted;
- E. Establish adequate development and design criteria to enhance the ability of providers of telecommunications services to provide service to the community quickly, effectively, and efficiently;
- F. Protect the residential areas and the Olde Towne Clinton District "OTC" from the uncontrolled development of wireless communications facilities by requiring reasonable siting conditions;
- G. Promote the use of suitable sites (public and private) for the location of wireless antennae, towers, and/or wireless communication facilities;
- H. Insure the harmonious, orderly, and efficient growth and development of the City;

- I. Maximize the economy of the City through the continued use of the City's public resources;
- J. Provide areas in which the zoning laws permit the development of wireless communication facilities which are consistent with the requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and in the best interest of the future of the City of Clinton;
- K. Provide clear performance standards addressing the siting of wireless communication facilities; and
- L. Streamline and expedite the permitting procedures to effect compliance with the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996;

SECTION II - CERTAIN USES NOT COVERED BY THIS ORDINANCE

Nothing in this ordinance shall reduce any of the permitted uses of any zoned property within the City of Clinton. Nothing in this ordinance shall affect the right of a property owner to continue any legal non-conforming use.

SECTION III - INTERPRETATION AND DEFINITIONS

A. Construction of other ordinances

To the extent this ordinance conflicts with the Zoning Ordinance, Sign Ordinance or any other ordinances of the City of Clinton, this ordinance shall control.

B. Rules for words and phrases

For the purpose of this Ordinance, words used in the present tense include the future tense; words in the singular number include the plural number, and words in the plural number include the singular number; the word "shall" is mandatory; the word "may" is permissive; the word "used" includes "designed" and "intended" or arranged to be used or occupied; and the word "person" includes a firm, association, organization, partnership, trust, foundation, company, or corporation as well as an individual.

C. Definitions

For the purpose of this Ordinance certain words, phrases, and terms used herein shall be interpreted as stated in this Section III. The Zoning Administrator shall define any word, phrase or term not defined herein. The interpretation shall be based upon its common and ordinary usage.

For the purpose of this Ordinance, all definitions defined herein are in addition to all definitions in the City of Clinton Zoning Ordinance.

Antenna Array. An Antenna Array is one or more rods, panels, discs, or similar devices used for the transmission or reception of radio frequency signals, which may include omni-directional antenna (rod), directional antenna (panel) and parabolic antenna (disc). The Antenna Array does not include the Support Structure.

Attached Wireless Communication Facility (Attached WCF).

An Attached WCF is an Antenna Array that is attached to an existing building or structure (Attachment Structure), which structures shall include but not be limited to utility poles, signs, water towers, rooftops, towers with any accompanying pole or device (Attachment Device) which attaches the Antenna Array to the existing building or structure and associated connection cables, and an Equipment Facility which may be located either inside or outside of the Attachment Structure.

Collocation/Site Sharing. Collocation/Site Sharing shall mean use of a common WCF or common site by more than one wireless communication license holder or by one wireless license holder for more than one type of communications technology and/or placement of a WCF on a structure owned or operated by a utility or other public entity.

Equipment Facility. An equipment Facility is any structure used to contain ancillary equipment for a WCF which includes cabinets, shelters, a building, or an existing structure, pedestals, and other similar structures.

FAA. Federal Aviation Administration.

FCC. Federal Communications Commission.

FTA. Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Height. When referring to WCF, Height shall mean the distance measured from ground level to the highest point on the WCF, excluding the Antenna Array.

Setback. Setback shall mean the required distance from the property line of the parcel on which the WCF is located to the perimeter of the fenced area around the Support Structure or, in the case of guy-wire supports, the guy anchors.

Support Structure. A Support Structure is a structure designed and constructed specifically to support an Antenna Array, and may include a

monopole, self supporting (lattice) tower, guy-wire-support tower and other similar structures. Any device (Attachment Device) which is used to attach an Attached WCF to an existing building or structure (Attachment Structure) shall be excluded from the definition of and regulations applicable to Support Structures.

Temporary Wireless Communication Facility (Temporary WCF).

Temporary Wireless Communication Facility shall mean a WCF to be placed in use for ninety (90) or fewer days.

Tower Use Permit (TUP). A permit issued by the City specifically for the location, construction and use of a WCF subject to an approved site plan and any special conditions determined by the Zoning Administrator to be appropriate under the provision of this Ordinance.

Wireless Communications. Wireless communications shall mean any personal wireless services as defined in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which includes FCC licensed commercial wireless telecommunications services including cellular, personal communication services (PCS), specialized mobile radio (SMR), enhanced specialized mobile radio (ESMR), paging, and similar services that currently exist.

Wireless Communication Facility (WCF). A WCF is any unstaffed facility for the transmission and/or reception of wireless telecommunications services, usually consisting of an Antenna Array, connection cable, and Equipment Facility, and a Support Structure to achieve the necessary elevation.

SECTION IV - APPLICABILITY AND DESIGNATION

Permit Required - No person, firm, or corporation shall install or construct a wireless communications facility unless and until the appropriate permit has been issued pursuant to the requirements of this ordinance.

Pre-existing Wireless Communications Facility - Wireless communications facilities for which a permit has been issued prior to the effective date of this Ordinance shall not be required to meet the requirements of this Ordinance.

Amateur Radio Exclusion - This Ordinance shall not govern the installation of any amateur radio facility that is owned and operated by a federally licensed amateur radio station operator or is used exclusively for receive-only antennas. Such installations shall comply with any other applicable provisions of the Zoning Ordinance.

Relationship to Other Ordinances - This Ordinance shall supersede all conflicting

requirements of other ordinances regarding the locating and permitting of wireless communications facilities except in the Olde Towne Clinton District.

Airport Zoning - Any wireless communications facility located or proposed to be located in airport areas governed by the FAA shall also comply with the provisions of all applicable local, state, and federal airport regulations.

Building Codes - Construction of all wireless communications facilities shall comply with the requirements of the standard building codes as adopted by the City of Clinton and permitting process in addition to the requirements of this Ordinance.

SECTION V - ALLOWABLE USES/DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA

A. Allowable Areas -

- 1.) Wireless communications facilities are prohibited in all residential zoned areas including A-1, R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, R-5, R-M, P.U.D., and O. T. C.
- 2.) Wireless communications facilities are permitted as a conditional use (special exception) in C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4, I-1, I-2, and S-1 districts subject to conditions and exceptions noted hereafter.

B. Height Standards - The following height standards shall apply to all wireless communications facility installations:

- 1.) Attached Wireless Communication Facilities shall not add more than twenty (20) feet to the height of the existing building or structure to which it is attached.
- 2.) Wireless communications facilities with support structures shall have a maximum height of 250' in I-1 & I-2, and 200' in C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4, & S-1.

C. Setback Standards - The following setback standards shall apply to all wireless communications facility installations;

- 1.) Attached Wireless Communication Facilities - Antenna arrays for attached wireless communication facilities are exempt from the setback provisions of the zone in which they are located. An attached wireless communication facility antenna array may extend up to thirty (30) inches horizontally beyond the edge of the attachment structure so long as the antenna array does not encroach upon an adjoining parcel.
- 2.) Wireless Communications Facilities With Support Structures -
 - a. A minimum setback of a distance equaling the height of the tower. The setback shall be measured from the base of the tower to the boundary line of the property owned, leased, or controlled by easement by the applicant.

- b. A minimum distance requirement of a distance equaling the height of the tower plus 200 feet from A-1, R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, R-M, P.U.D., and O.T.C. districts or the nearest part of any existing dwelling, school, church, or institution for human care, in any other district.

C. Landscaping and Screening - The following landscaping and screening requirements shall apply to all wireless communications facility installations.

- 1.) New Construction - New wireless communications facilities with support structures and attached wireless communications facilities with new building construction shall be landscaped in accordance with the applicable provisions of the landscape ordinance that may now or hereafter be adopted.
- 2.) Land Form Preservation - Existing mature tree growth and natural land form on the site shall be preserved to the extent feasible; provided however; that vegetation that causes interference with the antennas or inhibits access to the equipment facility may be trimmed or removed.
- 3.) Existing Vegetation - Existing vegetation on a wireless communication facility site may be used in lieu of required landscaping where approved by the Zoning Administrator.

D. Aesthetics, Placement, Materials, and Colors - Wireless communications facilities shall be designed so as to be compatible with existing structures and surroundings to the extent feasible, including placement in a location which is consistent with proper functioning of the wireless communication facility, the use of compatible or neutral colors, or stealth technology. A monopole type structure shall be used, unless approved by the Mayor and Board of Aldermen.

E. Lighting and Signage - The following lighting and signage requirements shall apply to all wireless communications facility installations:

- 1.) Artificial Illumination - Wireless communications facilities shall not be artificially illuminated, directly or indirectly, except for:
 - a.) security and safety lighting of equipment buildings if such lighting is appropriately down shielded to keep light within the boundaries of the site; and
 - b.) such illumination of the wireless communications facility as may be required by the FAA or other applicable authority installed in a manner to minimize impacts on adjacent residences. White strobe lighting shall be permitted during daylight hours, however, red beacon shall be utilized during

- night time hours.
- 2.) Signage - Wireless communications facilities shall not display any signage, logos, decals, symbols, or any messages of a commercial or noncommercial nature, except a small message containing provider identification and emergency telephone numbers and such other information as may be required by local, state, or federal regulations governing wireless communications facilities.
- F. Security Fencing - Wireless communications facilities with support structures shall be enclosed by an opaque security fence not less than six (6) feet in height. Security features may be incorporated into the buffer, landscaping, and screening requirements for the site. Nothing herein shall prevent security fencing that is necessary to meet requirements of state or federal agencies.
- G. Radio Frequency Emissions/Sound - The following radio frequency emissions standards shall apply to all wireless communications facility installations:
- 1.) RF Impact - The FTA gives the FCC jurisdiction of the regulation of radio frequency (RF) emissions, and wireless communications facilities that do not exceed the FCC standards shall not be conditioned or denied on the basis of RF impact.
 - 2.) FCC Compliance - In order to provide information to its citizens, copies of ongoing FCC information concerning wireless communications facilities and RF standards may be requested. Applicants for wireless communications facilities shall be required to provide information with the application on the measurement of the effective radiated power of the facility and how this meets the FCC standards.
 - 3.) Sound Prohibited - No unusual sound emissions such as alarms, bells, buzzers, or the like are permitted.
- H. Structural Integrity - Wireless communications facilities with support structures shall be constructed to the Electronics Industries Association/ Telecommunications Industries Association (EIA/TIA) 222 Revision F Standard entitled "Structural Standards for Steel Antennas Towers and Antenna Support Structures" (or equivalent), as it may be updated and amended.
- I. Collocation Agreement - No permit to construct a communications tower may be issued unless the applicant demonstrates to the Zoning Administrator or, where applicable, to the Board of Zoning Appeals, need for the tower and that the applicant has exhausted all alternatives to constructing a tower. Applicants are required to prove need by:

- 1.) Demonstrating via statement or other evidence that, in terms of location and construction, there are no existing towers, buildings, structures, elevated tanks, etc., able to provide the antenna platform required;
- 2.) Providing evidence, including coverage diagrams and technical reports, demonstrating that collocation on existing sites is not technically possible in order to serve the desired need. Collocation is not possible if:
 - a.) Planned equipment would exceed the structural capacity of existing and approved towers, considering existing and planned use of those towers, and existing and approved towers cannot be reinforced to accommodate planned or equivalent equipment at a reasonable cost;
 - b.) Planned equipment will cause RF interference with other existing or planned equipment for that tower, and the interference cannot be prevented at a reasonable cost;
 - c.) Existing or approved towers do not have space on which planned equipment can be placed so it can function effectively and at least in parity with other similar equipment in place or planned; or
 - d.) Other reasons as determined by the Mayor and Board of Aldermen make it impracticable to place the equipment planned by the applicant on existing approved towers.

SECTION VI - REVIEW PROCESS

The review process for installation of a wireless communications facility with support structures shall follow all requirements and guidelines as set forth in Section 2405 - Special Exceptions (Conditional Uses) of the City of Clinton Zoning Ordinance. (adopted April 4, 1997)

SECTION VII - APPROVAL PROCESS

A. Application Submission

All requests for a permit, regardless of Wireless Communication Facility type shall submit an application in accordance with the requirements of this section.

- 1.) Application Contents. Each applicant requesting a conditional use

under this Ordinance shall submit a scaled site plan containing a scaled elevation view and other supporting drawings, calculations and other documentation showing the location and dimensions of the wireless communications facility and all improvements associated therewith, including information concerning specifications, antenna locations, equipment facility and shelters, landscaping, parking, access, fencing and, if relevant as determined by staff, topography, adjacent uses and existing vegetation. Applicants proposing to collocate on an existing wireless communication facility shall include a Radio Frequency Intermodulation Study with their application.

- 2.) Submission Requirements. Application for a conditional use shall be submitted to the City on forms prescribed by the City. The application shall be accompanied by a site plan containing the information described above and a copy of the appropriate FCC license. The application and site plan shall be placed on the next available commission agenda in accordance with the agenda deadlines established by the City.
- 3.) Application Fees. A plan review fee of \$500. These fees may be used by the City to engage an engineer(s) or other qualified consultant(s) to review the technical aspects of the application or publish notices in local newspaper for public hearing as described in Zoning Ordinance.
- 4.) Technical Assistance. In the course of its consideration of an application, the City, the Zoning Administrator, the Planning Commission, or the Board of Aldermen may deem it necessary, in complex situations, to employ an engineer(s) or other consultant(s) qualified in the design and installation of wireless communication facilities to assist the City in the technical aspects of the application. In such cases, a five thousand dollar (\$5,000) deposit shall be submitted to the City for technical review and recommendations.

B. Planning Commission Review - The following shall apply to all Tower Use Permit applications requiring submission to the Planning Commission.

- 1.) Review Authority. The Planning Commission shall be the review authority for TUP applications not eligible for Administrative Review or otherwise referred to the Commission.
- 2.) Notice. Notice of the application and the public hearing by the Planning Commission shall be accomplished in the same manner as a Conditional Use Permit under the Zoning Ordinance.

- 3.) Hearing. The Planning Commission shall review and consider the conditional use application at a public hearing. At the hearing, interested persons may appear and offer information in support or opposition to the proposed application. The Planning Commission shall consider the following in reaching a decision.
- a.) Development Criteria Variance. The conditional use shall be reviewed for compliance with the Development Criteria set for in Section V; provided that the applicable Development Criteria may be amended or waived so long as the approval of the wireless communication facility meets the goals and purposes of the Ordinance. The Planning Commission may authorize a variance from the Development Criteria by specific inclusion in a motion for approval.
 - b.) Tower Siting Conditions. The Planning Commission may impose conditions and restrictions on the application or on the premises benefited by the conditional use as it deems necessary to reduce or minimize any adverse effects and to enhance the compatibility of the wireless communication facility with the surrounding property, in accordance with the purposes and intent of this Ordinance. The violation of any condition shall be grounds for revocation of the conditional use. The Planning Commission may impose such conditions in addition to the Development Criteria upon the following findings:
 - i.) The wireless communication facility would result in significant adverse visual impact on nearby residences.
 - ii.) The conditions are based upon the purpose and goals of this Ordinance.
 - iii.) The conditions are reasonable and capable of being accomplished.
 - c.) Action. Following the public hearing and presentation of evidence, the Planning Commission shall take one of the following actions:
 - i.) approve the application as submitted;
 - ii.) approve the application with conditions or modifications;
 - iii.) defer the application for additional information or neighborhood input; or
 - iv.) deny the application.

4. Findings. All decisions rendered by the Planning Commission concerning a Tower Use Permit shall be supported by written findings of fact and conclusions of law based upon substantial evidence of record.
5. Timing of Decision. The Planning Commission shall render its decision within 60 days or less of the final submission of all required application documents and technical evaluations, however this time may be increased due to deferrals by either the applicant or the Planning Commission.
6. Appeals. The decision of the Planning Commission may be appealed to the Board of Aldermen of the City under the following circumstances:
 - a.) Only the applicant and those who registered an objection to the conditional use in the record of the Planning Commission shall have standing to appeal.
 - b.) Only such evidence or testimony in support of or opposition to the issuance of the conditional use which was provided to the Planning Commission may be presented to the Board of aldermen unless the Board, by majority vote, decides to hear new information.
 - c.) Notice of appeal shall be accomplished by the appellant in the same manner as a conditional use permit under the Zoning Ordinance.

SECTION VIII - SHARED FACILITIES AND COLLOCATION POLICY

Collocation. All new wireless communication facilities with support structures shall be engineered, designed and constructed to be capable of sharing the facility with other providers, to collocate with other existing wireless communication facilities and to accommodate the future collocation of other wireless communication facilities. A conditional use shall not be issued until the applicant proposing a new wireless communications facility shall demonstrate that it has made a reasonable good faith attempt to locate its WCF onto an existing structure. Competitive conflict and financial burden are not deemed to be adequate reasons against collocation.

All WCF's with support structure up to a height of 150 feet shall be engineered and constructed to accommodate at least the four (4) antenna array. All WCF's with support structure up to a height of more than 200 feet shall be engineered and constructed to accommodate at least five (5) antenna array. All WCF's with support structure over 200 feet shall be engineered and constructed to accommodate at least six (6) antenna array.

SECTION IX - REMOVAL OF ABANDONED WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITIES

Any wireless communication facility that is not operated for a continuous period of twelve (12) months shall be considered abandoned, and the wireless communication facility owner shall remove the wireless communication facility within 90 days after notice from the City to remove the wireless communication facility. If the abandoned wireless communication facility is not removed within 90 days, the City may remove it and recover its costs from the wireless communication facility owner. If there are two or more users of a single wireless communication facility, this provision shall not become effective until all providers cease to use the wireless communication facility. If the owner of an abandoned wireless communication facility cannot be located or is no longer in business, the requirements of this section shall be the responsibility of the landowner on whose property the wireless communication facility is located. The City shall be notified of any technological advances within the industry that will require any changes in the facilities.

SECTION X - NONCONFORMING WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES

Wireless Communication Facilities in existence on the date of the adoption of this Ordinance which do not comply with the requirements of this Ordinance (nonconforming wireless communications facility) are subject to the following provisions:

- A. Expansion. Nonconforming wireless communication facilities may continue in use for the purpose now used, but may not be expanded without complying with this Ordinance except as further provided in this Section.
- B. Additions. Nonconforming wireless communications facilities may add additional antennas (belonging to the same provider or other providers) subject to Administrative Review under this Ordinance.
- C. Repairs or Reconstruction. Nonconforming wireless communications facilities which become damaged due to any reason or cause, may be repaired and restored to its former use, location, and physical dimensions subject to the provisions of this Ordinance. Provided, however, that if the damage to the wireless communication facility exceeds 50% of replacement cost, said wireless communication facility may only be reconstructed or repaired in compliance with this ordinance.
- D. Any wireless communications facility not in use for six months shall be deemed abandoned and all rights as a nonconforming use shall cease.


SECTION XI - REVOCATION OF TOWER USE PERMITS

Any Conditional Use Permit issued pursuant to this Ordinance may be revoked after a hearing as provided hereinafter. If the Mayor and Board of Aldermen finds that any permit holder has violated any provision of this Ordinance, or has failed to make good faith reasonable efforts to provide or seek collocation, the Mayor and Board of Aldermen

may revoke the conditional use permit upon such terms and conditions, if any, that the Mayor and Board may determine. Prior to initiation of revocation proceedings, the City shall notify the permit holder, in writing, of the specific areas of non-compliance and specify the date by which such deficiencies must be corrected. The time for correction of deficiencies shall not exceed 60 days. The permit holder shall provide the City with evidence that the required corrective action has been taken. Should the permit holder fail to correct any deficiencies in the time required, the Mayor and Board of Aldermen shall convene a public hearing to consider revocation of the Conditional Use Permit. The hearing shall be conducted pursuant to notice by publication in a newspaper with general circulation in the city not less than 10 days prior to the hearing and by written notice to the permit holder. At any such hearing, the permit holder may be represented by an attorney and may cross-examine opposing witnesses. Other interested persons may comment. The Mayor and Board of Aldermen may impose reasonable restrictions with respect to time and procedure. The proceedings shall be provided by the requesting party at that party's expense.

SECTION XII - PENALTY

The fine or penalty for violating any provisions of this Ordinance shall, upon conviction in the municipal court, not exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) for any one specified offense or violation further, that if a thing prohibited or rendered unlawful is, in its nature, continuous in respect to time, the fine or penalty for allowing the continuance thereof in violation of this Ordinance shall not exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) for each day that it may be unlawfully continued.



Clinton Public School District

P. O. Box 300
Clinton, Mississippi 39060

10.

Tommye C. Henderson, Ph.D.
Superintendent of Schools

July 13, 1999

The Honorable Rosemary Aultman, Mayor
and Board of Aldermen
City of Clinton
P.O. box 156
Clinton, MS 39060

Dear Mayor Aultman and Aldermen:

Attached are the 1999-2000 budget for the Clinton Public School District, a Resolution, and a Certificate which certifies the action of the board of Trustees on June 8, 1999 and July 13, 1999.

The Trustees of the Clinton Public School District have approved a 1999-2000 budget in the amount of \$32,118,921 for the Clinton Public School District and respectfully request the Mayor and Board of Aldermen for the City of Clinton to file such budgetary information as the State Department of Education may require and to levy on all of the taxable property of the Clinton Public School District the necessary millage to provide property taxes for the following purposes:


District School Operation and Maintenance Fund, Section 37-57-105, Mississippi Code Annotated 1972, as amended ("the Code")	\$ 6,187,100
District's local contribution to the Minimum Education Program	293,710
Payments on construction bonds executed Pursuant to the provisions of Sections 37-59-1 through 37-59-45, Et seq., of the Code	860,000


Less estimated Homestead Exemption Reimbursement	7,340,810 (320,375)

Net property taxes to be provided	\$ 7,020,435


If you should have any questions concerning the financial condition of our district, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,


Tommye C. Henderson, Ph.D.


Nancy Cisco, Vice President
Board of Trustees
Clinton Public School District

TCH/cs
Attachments



Clinton Public School District

P. O. Box 300
Clinton, Mississippi 39060

July 13, 1999

Tommye C. Henderson, Ph.D.
Superintendent of Schools

RESOLUTION

Having approved a 1999-2000 budget in the amount of \$32,118,921 for the Clinton Public School District ("District") at the June 8, 1999 meeting of the Board, the Board hereby authorizes and directs the President of the Board and/or the Superintendent of Schools to request the Mayor and Board of Aldermen of the City of Clinton, Mississippi to file such budgetary information as the State Department of Education may require and to levy on all of the taxable property of the District the necessary millage to provide property taxes for the following purposes:

District School Operation and Maintenance Fund, Section 37-57-105, Mississippi Code Annotated 1972, as amended ("the Code")	\$ 6,187,100
District's local contribution to the Minimum Education Program	293,710
Payments on construction bonds executed Pursuant to the provisions of Sections 37-59-1 through 37-59-45, Et seq., of the Code	860,000

	7,340,810
Less estimated Homestead Exemption Reimbursement	(320,375)

Net property taxes to be provided	\$ 7,020,435

Certificate

I, Dennis Dyse, Secretary of the Board of Trustees of the Clinton Public School District, do hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by said Board on July 13, 1999.

Witness my signature this the 13th day of July, 1999.

Dennis Dyse, Secretary
Board of Trustees
Clinton Public School District



CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

SCHOOL DISTRICT BUDGET

FY 1999 - 2000

ADOPTED JUNE 8, 1999

**CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
COMBINED BUDGET
2000 Fiscal Year**

	General	Special Revenue	Capital Projects	Debt Service	Trust & Agency	Total
REVENUE						
1000 Local sources	6,749,856	575,247	12,000	860,000	216,850	8,413,953
2000 Intermediate sources						0
3000 State sources	12,794,743	1,647,871				14,442,614
4000 Federal sources		2,086,970				2,086,970
5000 Sixteenth section sources					68,500	68,500
6000 Other financing sources	1,565,519	786,515			11,500	2,363,534
Total Revenue	21,110,118	5,096,603	12,000	860,000	296,850	27,375,571
Fund Equity, July 1, 1999	1,777,352	171,867	2,180,143	329,000	284,988	4,743,350
Total	22,887,470	5,268,470	2,192,143	1,189,000	581,838	32,118,921
EXPENDITURES						
1000 Instruction	12,493,719	2,368,117			155,900	15,017,736
2000 Support services:						
2100 Students	806,347	131,872			22,600	960,819
2200 Instructional staff	466,986	164,086			8,000	639,072
2300 General administration	431,296	319,819				751,115
2400 School administration	1,402,256	95,295			1,800	1,499,351
2500 Business	219,864				600	220,464
2600 Oper. & maint. of plant	1,937,496	18,314			4,500	1,960,310
2700 Transportation	772,589	209,514			1,000	983,103
2800 Central	1,008,587	136,120				1,144,707
3000 Noninstructional services	163,500	1,032,522			31,000	1,227,022
4000 Sixteenth section					24,500	24,500
5000 Facilities acq. & const.			623,000			623,000
6000 Debt service		721,869		863,805		1,585,674
7000 Other financing uses	2,289,685	14,349			59,500	2,363,534
Total Expenditures	21,992,325	5,211,877	623,000	863,805	309,400	29,000,407
Fund Equity June 30, 2000	895,145	56,593	1,569,143	325,195	272,438	3,118,514
Total	22,887,470	5,268,470	2,192,143	1,189,000	581,838	32,118,921

CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
Combining Budget:
2000 Fiscal Year

GENERAL

		1120	1130	1151	1901	1902	1903	1904	1905	
		MAINT.	SPED LOC/ST.	GEN. ACT.	ATH.	BAND	BAND UNIF.	CHORAL MUSIC	16 SEC INCOME	TOTAL
REVENUE										
1000	Local sources	6,253,335		380,021	96,000	6,500		14,000		6,749,856
2000	Intermediate sources									0
3000	State sources	12,794,743								12,794,743
4000	Federal sources									0
5000	Sixteenth section sources									0
6000	Other financing sources	44,349	1,328,370	5,000		17,300	4,000	11,500	155,000	1,565,519
Total Revenue		19,092,427	1,328,370	385,021	96,000	23,800	4,000	25,500	155,000	21,110,118
Fund Equity, July 1, 1999		1,419,352	0	190,000	40,000	1,000	3,000	4,000	120,000	1,777,352
Total		20,511,779	1,328,370	575,021	136,000	24,800	7,000	29,500	275,000	22,887,470
EXPENDITURES										
1000	Instruction	11,084,523	1,094,425	169,750	95,800	20,100		22,450	6,671	12,493,719
2000	Support services:									
2100	Students	541,902	233,945	30,500						806,347
2200	Instructional staff	452,136		9,100				1,000	4,750	466,986
2300	General administration	396,296							35,000	431,296
2400	School administration	1,376,503		2,150					23,603	1,402,256
2500	Business	219,564		300						219,864
2600	Oper. & maint. of plant	1,884,553		6,150					46,793	1,937,496
2700	Transportation	762,289						3,050	7,250	772,589
2800	Central	1,008,587								1,008,587
3000	Noninstructional services	1,500		162,000						163,500
4000	Sixteenth section									0
5000	Facilities acq. & const.									0
6000	Debt service									0
7000	Other financing uses	2,255,685		30,000		4,000				2,289,685
Total Expenditures		19,983,538	1,328,370	409,950	95,800	24,100	0	26,500	124,067	21,992,325
Fund Equity June 30, 2000		528,241	0	165,071	40,200	700	7,000	3,000	150,933	895,145
Total		20,511,779	1,328,370	575,021	136,000	24,800	7,000	29,500	275,000	22,887,470

CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
COMBINING BUDGET

SPECIAL REVENUE

(CB98-#2)

2000 Fiscal Year

	2010 MAEP INTERIM	20160 GOALS 2000	2070 TECH. IN CLSROOM	2080 TECH LIT CHALLENGE	2090 EXT. SCH TERM	2110 FOOD SERVICE	2219 TITLE I	2220 TITLE I	TOTAL
REVENUE									
1000 Local sources						562,604			562,604
2000 Intermediate sources									0
3000 State sources	555,484				13,632	8,000			577,116
4000 Federal sources		70,000		130,000		585,910	122,270	571,120	1,479,300
5000 Sixteenth section sources									0
6000 Other financing sources									0
Total Revenue	555,484	70,000	0	130,000	13,632	1,156,514	122,270	571,120	2,619,020
Fund Equity, July 1, 1999	8,000	0	46,867	0	0	10,000			64,867
Total	563,484	70,000	46,867	130,000	13,632	1,166,514	122,270	571,120	2,683,887
EXPENDITURES									
1000 Instruction				130,000	6,289		88,247	427,986	652,522
2000 Support services:									
2100 Students									0
2200 Instructional staff		68,922	46,867						115,789
2300 General administration						99,931	32,623	80,337	212,891
2400 School administration									0
2500 Business									0
2600 Oper. & maint. of plant									0
2700 Transportation					7,343				7,343
2800 Central						76,000			76,000
3000 Noninstructional services						976,325		56,197	1,032,522
4000 Sixteenth section									0
5000 Facilities acq. & const.									0
6000 Debt service	555,869								555,869
7000 Other financing uses		1,078					1,400	6,600	9,078
Total Expenditures	555,869	70,000	46,867	130,000	13,632	1,152,256	122,270	571,120	2,662,014
Fund Equity June 30, 2000	7,615	0	0	0	0	14,258	0	0	21,873
Total	563,484	70,000	46,867	130,000	13,632	1,166,514	122,270	571,120	2,683,887

CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
COMBINING BUDGET SPECIAL REVENUE
2000 FISCAL YEAR

(CB00 #3)

	2320 TITLE VI FY00	2410 EEF BL/BUS	2420 EEF/ST TXTBK	2430 EEF TRANS. OP	2440 EEF INST SUPPLY	2519 TITLE II E/HOWER	2520 TITLE II E/HOWER	TOTAL
REVENUE								
1000 Local sources								0
2000 Intermediate sources								0
3000 State sources		166,601	220,879	124,075	190,201			701,756
4000 Federal sources	29,767					9,610	23,653	63,030
5000 Sixteenth section sources								0
6000 Other financing sources								0
Total Revenue	29,767	166,601	220,879	124,075	190,201	9,610	23,653	764,786
Fund Equity, July 1, 1999	0	17,000	70,000	10,000	10,000			107,000
Total	29,767	183,601	290,879	134,075	200,201	9,610	23,653	871,786
EXPENDITURES								
1000 Instruction			273,760		200,201		6,516	480,477
2000 Support services:								
2100 Students							16,870	16,870
2200 Instructional staff	29,767					9,610		39,377
2300 General administration								0
2400 School administration								0
2500 Business								0
2600 Oper. & maint. of plant								0
2700 Transportation				134,075				134,075
2800 Central								0
3000 Noninstructional services								0
4000 Sixteenth section								0
5000 Facilities acq. & const.								0
6000 Debt service		166,000						166,000
7000 Other financing uses							267	267
Total Expenditures	29,767	166,000	273,760	134,075	200,201	9,610	23,653	837,066
Fund Equity June 30, 2000	0	17,601	17,119	0	0	0	0	34,720
Total	29,767	183,601	290,879	134,075	200,201	9,610	23,653	871,786

CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
Combining Budget
2000 FISCAL YEAR

SPECIAL REVENUE

(CB97#4)

	2619 SPED	2619 SPED	2629 PRESCH INCENT	2630 PRESCH INCENT	2711 VOC LO/ ST/FED	2819 DRUG FREE	2820 DRUG FREE	TOTAL
REVENUE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1000 Local sources						2,643		2,643
2000 Intermediate sources								0
3000 State sources					368,999			368,999
4000 Federal sources	80,000	176,880	10,461	16,800	35,648	23,076	43,018	385,883
5000 Sixteenth section sources								0
6000 Other financing sources					786,515			786,515
Total Revenue	80,000	176,880	10,461	16,800	1,191,162	25,719	43,018	1,544,040
Fund Equity, July 1, 1999								0
Total	80,000	176,880	10,461	16,800	1,191,162	25,719	43,018	1,544,040
EXPENDITURES								
1000 Instruction	18,490	45,346	10,339	16,604	932,689	11,499	32,906	1,067,873
2000 Support services:								0
2100 Students		30,258			84,744			115,002
2200 Instructional staff						8,920		8,920
2300 General administration	32,855	71,573				1,000	1,500	106,928
2400 School administration					95,295			95,295
2500 Business								0
2600 Oper. & maint. of plant					18,314			18,314
2700 Transportation	27,722	27,722				4,300	8,352	68,096
2800 Central					60,120			60,120
3000 Noninstructional services								0
4000 Sixteenth section								0
5000 Facilities acq. & const.								0
6000 Debt service								0
7000 Other financing uses	933	1,981	122	196			260	3,492
Total Expenditures	80,000	176,880	10,461	16,800	1,191,162	25,719	43,018	1,544,040
Fund Equity June 30, 2000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	80,000	176,880	10,461	16,800	1,191,162	25,719	43,018	1,544,040
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
Combining Budget
2000 Fiscal Year

(CB98 #5)

(CB98 #5)		2905		2910		TOTAL	TOTAL ALL SPEC REV
		ABSTINANCE		REDUCTION CLASS SIZE			
REVENUE		-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1000	Local sources		10,000			10,000	575,247
2000	Intermediate sources					0	0
3000	State sources					0	1,647,871
4000	Federal sources		43,338		115,419	158,757	2,086,970
5000	Sixteenth section sources					0	0
6000	Other financing sources					0	786,515
Total Revenue		0	53,338	0	115,419	168,757	5,096,603
Fund Equity July 1, 1999			0	0		0	171,867
		0	53,338	0	115,419	168,757	5,268,470
EXPENDITURES							
1000	Instruction		53,338		113,907	167,245	2,368,117
2000	Support services:						
2100	Students					0	131,872
2200	Instructional staff					0	164,086
2300	General administration					0	319,819
2400	School administration					0	95,295
2500	Business					0	0
2600	Oper. & maint. of plant					0	18,314
2700	Transportation					0	209,514
2800	Central					0	136,120
3000	Noninstructional services					0	1,032,522
4000	Sixteenth section					0	0
5000	Facilities acq. & const.					0	0
6000	Debt service					0	721,869
7000	Other financing uses				1512	1,512	14,349
Total Expenditures		0	53,338	0	115,419	168,757	5,211,877
Fund Equity June 30, 2000		0	0	0	0	0	56,593
Total		0	53,338	0	115,419	168,757	5,268,470

CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
Combining Budget: CAPITAL PROJECTS
2000 Fiscal Year

(CB98 #6)

REVENUE		3021 BUILDING PROJ. MAEP		TOTAL CAP. PROJ	
1000	Local sources		12,000		12,000
2000	Intermediate sources				0
3000	State sources				0
4000	Federal sources				0
5000	Sixteenth section sources				0
6000	Other financing sources				0
Total Revenue		0	0	12,000	0
Fund Equity, July 1, 1999			2,180,143		2,180,143
Total		0	0	2,192,143	0
EXPENDITURES					
1000	Instruction				0
2000	Support services:				
2100	Students				0
2200	Instructional staff				0
2300	General administration				0
2400	School administration				0
2500	Business				0
2600	Oper. & maint. of plant				0
2700	Transportation				0
2800	Central				0
3000	Noninstructional services				0
4000	Sixteenth section				0
5000	Facilities acq. & const.		623,000		623,000
6000	Debt service				0
7000	Other financing uses				0
Total Expenditures		0	0	623,000	0
Fund Equity June 30, 2000		0	0	1,569,143	0
Total		0	0	2,192,143	0

CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
Combining Budget: DEBT SERVICE
2000 Fiscal Year

(CB98 #7)

REVENUE					4031 DEBT SERV. BOND 94			TOTAL DEBT SERV.
		-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1000	Local sources				860,000			860,000
2000	Intermediate sources							0
3000	State sources							0
4000	Federal sources							0
5000	Sixteenth section sources							0
6000	Other financing sources							0
Total Revenue		0	0	0	860,000	0	0	860,000
Fund Equity, July 1, 1999					329,000			329,000
Total		0	0	0	1,189,000	0	0	1,189,000
		=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
EXPENDITURES								
1000	Instruction							0
2000	Support services:							
2100	Students							0
2200	Instructional staff							0
2300	General administration							0
2400	School administration							0
2500	Business							0
2600	Oper. & maint. of plant							0
2700	Transportation							0
2800	Central							0
3000	Noninstructional services							0
4000	Sixteenth section							0
5000	Facilities acq. & const.							0
6000	Debt service				863,805			863,805
7000	Other financing uses							0
Total Expenditures		0	0	0	863,805	0	0	863,805
Fund Equity June 30, 2000		0	0	0	325,195	0	0	325,195
Total		0	0	0	1,189,000	0	0	1,189,000
		=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

Combining Budget: TRUST AND AGENCY
2000 Fiscal year

(CB98 #8)

		7120 UNEMP. COMP REV	7130 FORESTRY ESCROW	7141 16th SEC INTEREST	7211 16th SEC PRINC.	7321 ACTIVITY	TOTAL
REVENUE							
1000	Local sources	1,300	50			215,500	216,850
2000	Intermediate sources						0
3000	State sources						0
4000	Federal sources						0
5000	Sixteenth section sources			64,500	4,000		68,500
6000	Other financing sources	7,000		4,500			11,500
Total Revenue		8,300	50	69,000	4,000	215,500	296,850
Fund Equity, July 1, 1999		78,000	25,320	28,000	103,668	50,000	284,988
Total		86,300	25,370	97,000	107,668	265,500	581,838
EXPENDITURES							
1000	Instruction	8,600				147,300	155,900
2000	Support services:						
2100	Students					22,600	22,600
2200	Instructional staff					8,000	8,000
2300	General administration						0
2400	School administration					1,800	1,800
2500	Business					600	600
2600	Oper. & maint. of plant	1,800				2,700	4,500
2700	Transportation	1,000					1,000
2800	Central						0
3000	Noninstructional services					31,000	31,000
4000	Sixteenth section		6,500	18,000			24,500
5000	Facilities acq. & const.						0
6000	Debt service						0
7000	Other financing uses			55,000	4,500		59,500
Total Expenditures		11,400	6,500	73,000	4,500	214,000	309,400
Fund Equity June 30, 2000		74,900	18,870	24,000	103,168	51,500	272,438
Total		86,300	25,370	97,000	107,668	265,500	581,838


CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

**SUMMARY OF BUDGETED REVENUE
1999/2000**

	Total Budget	% Total Budget
	-----	-----
Ad Valorem Tax	\$7,020,435	28.1%
Other Local	1,393,518	5.6%
State sources	14,442,614	57.7%
Federal sources	2,086,970	8.3%
Sixteenth section sources	68,500	0.3%
	-----	-----
Revenue (Excl. Transfers)	\$25,012,037	100.0%
Transfer	2,363,534	

Total Revenue	\$27,375,571	

**CPSD
BUDGETED REVENUE
1999/2000
TOTAL \$25,012,037**



CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 1999/2000 Budgeted Expenditures


BY FUNCTION

	Total Budget	% Total Budget
	-----	-----
Instruction	\$15,017,736	56.4%
Support services:		
Students	960,819	3.6%
Instructional staff	639,072	2.4%
General administration	751,115	2.8%
School administration	1,499,351	5.6%
Business	220,464	0.8%
Oper. & maint. of plant	1,960,310	7.4%
Transportation	983,103	3.7%
Central	163,922	0.6%
Employee Insurance	980,785	3.7%
Noninstructional services	1,227,022	4.6%
Sixteenth section	24,500	0.1%
Facilities acq. & const.	623,000	2.3%
Debt service	1,585,674	6.0%
	-----	-----
Expenditures (Excl. Transfers)	\$26,636,873	100.0%
Transfer Amount	2,363,534	

TOTAL EXPENDITURES	29,000,407	

C P S D
BUDGETED EXPENDITURES

1999/2000
BY FUNCTION



CLINTON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

**SUMMARY OF BUDGETED EXPENDITURES
1999/2000**

BY OBJECT

	Total Budget	% Total Budget
	-----	-----
SALARIES	\$15,929,371	59.8%
SUBSTITUTE TEACHERS	150,000	0.6%
EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	4,053,520	15.2%
PURCH. PROF. SERVICES	414,146	1.6%
PURCH. PROPERTY SERVICE	891,608	3.3%
OTHER PURCH. SERVICES	320,165	1.2%
UTILITIES	500,000	1.9%
SUPPLIES	2,108,520	7.9%
PROPERTY	426,778	1.6%
DEBT SERVICE	1,419,674	5.3%
OTHER OBJECTS	423,091	1.6%
	-----	-----
Expenditures (Excl. Transfers)	\$26,636,873	100.0%
TRANSFER	\$2,363,534	

TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$29,000,407	

C P S D
BUDGETED EXPENDITURES

1999/00
BY OBJECT

